

Lecture 3.

Optimal Methods

Minimization over Simple Sets

- Optimal Methods.
- Convex Sets.
- Constrained Minimization Problem.
- Gradient Mapping.
- Minimization Methods over a simple set.

Optimal Methods

Problem:

$$\min_{x \in R^n} f(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(R^n).$$

We allow the value $\mu = 0$ ($\mathcal{S}_{0,L}^{1,1}(R^n) \equiv \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(R^n)$).

Gradient Method:

$$f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(R^n) \Rightarrow f(x_k) - f^* \leq \frac{2L\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{k+4},$$

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(R^n) \Rightarrow f(x_k) - f^* \leq \frac{L\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2\left(\frac{L+\mu}{L-\mu}\right)^{2k}}.$$

It is not optimal!

Note:

1. The gradient method forms a relaxation sequence:

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k).$$

2. Optimal methods never rely on that. Relaxation is too expensive for optimality.

Estimate sequence

Definition. A pair of sequences $\{\phi_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\lambda_k \geq 0$ is called an *estimate sequence* of function $f(x)$ if $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$ and for any $x \in R^n$ and $k \geq 0$ we have:

$$\phi_k(x) \leq (1 - \lambda_k)f(x) + \lambda_k\phi_0(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1 *If for some sequence $\{x_k\}$ we have*

$$f(x_k) \leq \phi_k^* \equiv \min_{x \in R^n} \phi_k(x) \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$f(x_k) - f^* \leq \lambda_k[\phi_0(x^*) - f^*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_k) &\leq \phi_k^* = \min_{x \in R^n} \phi_k(x) \\ &\leq \min_{x \in R^n} [(1 - \lambda_k)f(x) + \lambda_k\phi_0(x)] \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda_k)f(x^*) + \lambda_k\phi_0(x^*). \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, the rate of convergence of $\{\lambda_k\}$ defines the rate of convergence of the sequence $\{x_k\}$.

Questions:

1. How to form the estimate sequence?
2. How we can ensure (3.2)?

Lemma 3.2 *Let us assume that:*

1. $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu, L}^{1,1}(R^n)$.
2. $\phi_0(x)$ is an arbitrary function on R^n .
3. $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary sequence in R^n .
4. $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} : \alpha_k \in (0, 1), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$.
5. $\lambda_0 = 1$.

Then the pair $\{\phi_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}, \{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lambda_{k+1} &= (1 - \alpha_k)\lambda_k, \\ \phi_{k+1}(x) &= (1 - \alpha_k)\phi_k(x) + \alpha_k[f(y_k) \\ &\quad + \langle f'(y_k), x - y_k \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - y_k\|^2], \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

is an estimate sequence.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\phi_0(x) \leq (1 - \lambda_0)f(x) + \lambda_0\phi_0(x) \equiv \phi_0(x).$$

Further, let (3.1) holds for some $k \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}(x) &\leq (1 - \alpha_k)\phi_k(x) + \alpha_k f(x) \\ &= (1 - (1 - \alpha_k)\lambda_k)f(x) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_k)(\phi_k(x) - (1 - \lambda_k)f(x)) \\ &\leq (1 - (1 - \alpha_k)\lambda_k)f(x) + (1 - \alpha_k)\lambda_k\phi_0(x) \\ &= (1 - \lambda_{k+1})f(x) + \lambda_{k+1}\phi_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Variation of ϕ_k^*

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\phi_0(x) = \phi_0^* + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \|x - v_0\|^2$. Then the process (3.3) forms $\phi_k(x) \equiv \phi_k^* + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \|x - v_k\|^2$, where the sequences $\{\gamma_k\}$, $\{v_k\}$ and $\{\phi_k^*\}$ are defined as follows:*

$$\gamma_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu,$$

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}}[(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k v_k + \alpha_k\mu y_k - \alpha_k f'(y_k)],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}^* &= (1 - \alpha_k)\phi_k^* + \alpha_k f(y_k) - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \|f'(y_k)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_k(1-\alpha_k)\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|y_k - v_k\|^2 + \langle f'(y_k), v_k - y_k \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\phi_0''(x) = \gamma_0 I_n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}''(x) &= (1 - \alpha_k)\phi_k''(x) + \alpha_k\mu I_n \\ &= ((1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu)I_n \equiv \gamma_{k+1}I_n. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}(x) &= (1 - \alpha_k) \left(\phi_k^* + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \|x - v_k\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_k [f(y_k) + \langle f'(y_k), x - y_k \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - y_k\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the equation $\phi_{k+1}'(x) = 0$ is as follows:

$$(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k(x - v_k) + \alpha_k f'(y_k) + \alpha_k\mu(x - y_k) = 0,$$

And we get the equation for v_{k+1} .

Finally, let us compute ϕ_{k+1}^* . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{k+1}^* + \frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{2} \|v_{k+1} - y_k\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k) \left(\phi_k^* + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \|y_k - v_k\|^2 \right) + \alpha_k f(y_k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that

$$v_{k+1} - y_k = \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} [(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k(v_k - y_k) - \alpha_k f'(y_k)].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{2} \|v_{k+1} - y_k\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\gamma_{k+1}} [(1 - \alpha_k)^2 \gamma_k^2 \|v_k - y_k\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k \langle f'(y_k), v_k - y_k \rangle + \alpha_k^2 \|f'(y_k)\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to substitute this relation in (3.4).

Note that the coefficient for $\|y_k - v_k\|^2$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_k) \frac{\gamma_k}{2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma_{k+1}} (1 - \alpha_k)^2 \gamma_k^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k) \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \left(1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \right) = (1 - \alpha_k) \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{\gamma_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let we have x_k : $\phi_k^* \geq f(x_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}^* &\geq (1 - \alpha_k)f(x_k) + \alpha_k f(y_k) - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \|f'(y_k)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_k(1-\alpha_k)\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \langle f'(y_k), v_k - y_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x_k) \geq f(y_k) + \langle f'(y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}^* &\geq f(y_k) - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \|f'(y_k)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_k) \langle f'(y_k), \frac{\alpha_k\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}}(v_k - y_k) + x_k - y_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We want to have $\phi_{k+1}^* \geq f(x_{k+1})$. Note that:

1. By a gradient step $x_{k+1} = y_k - h_k f'(y_k)$ we can guarantee that

$$f(y_k) - \frac{1}{2L} \|f'(y_k)\|^2 \geq f(x_{k+1})$$

(for example, $h_k = \frac{1}{L}$). This gives the following equation for α_k :

$$L\alpha_k^2 = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu \quad (= \gamma_{k+1}).$$

2. We can kill the second term choosing y_k from the equation

$$\frac{\alpha_k\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}}(v_k - y_k) + x_k - y_k = 0.$$

That is

$$y_k = \frac{\alpha_k\gamma_k v_k + \gamma_{k+1} x_k}{\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu}.$$

General scheme (3.5)

0. Choose $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\gamma_0 > 0$.

Set $v_0 = x_0$.

1. k th iteration ($k \geq 0$).

a). Compute $\alpha_k \in (0, 1)$ from the equation

$$L\alpha_k^2 = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu.$$

Set $\gamma_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu$.

b). Choose

$$y_k = \frac{\alpha_k\gamma_kv_k + \gamma_{k+1}x_k}{\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu}.$$

Compute $f(y_k)$ and $f'(y_k)$.

c). Find $x_{k+1} = y_k - h_k f'(y_k)$ such that

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(y_k) - \frac{1}{2L} \|f'(y_k)\|^2$$

d). Set

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} [(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_kv_k + \alpha_k\mu y_k - \alpha_k f'(y_k)].$$

Remark:

In Step 1c) of the scheme we can choose any x_{k+1} such that

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(y_k) - \frac{\omega}{2} \|f'(y_k)\|^2.$$

Then the constant $\frac{1}{\omega}$ should replace L in the equation of Step 1a).

Theorem 3.1 *The scheme (3.5) generates a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$f(x_k) - f^* \leq \lambda_k \left[f(x_0) - f^* + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right],$$

where $\lambda_0 = 1$ and

$$\lambda_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - \alpha_i).$$

Proof. Indeed, let us choose

$$\phi_0(x) = f(x_0) + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \|x - v_0\|^2.$$

Then

$$f(x_0) = \phi_0^*$$

and we get $f(x_k) \leq \phi_k^*$ by construction of the scheme.

It remains to use Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.4 *If we take $\gamma_0 \geq \mu$, then*

$$\lambda_k \leq \min \left\{ \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}\right)^k, \frac{4L}{(2\sqrt{L} + k\sqrt{\gamma_0})^2} \right\}.$$

Proof. Indeed, if $\gamma_k \geq \mu$ then

$$L\alpha_k^2 = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu \geq \mu.$$

Hence, $\alpha_k \geq \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}$ and we get also

$$\gamma_{k+1} = L\alpha_k^2 \geq \mu.$$

Further, let us prove that $\gamma_k \geq \gamma_0\lambda_k$. Indeed, since

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma_0\lambda_0,$$

we can use induction:

$$\gamma_{k+1} \geq (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k \geq (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_0\lambda_k = \gamma_0\lambda_{k+1}.$$

Therefore

$$L\alpha_k^2 = \gamma_{k+1} \geq \gamma_0\lambda_{k+1}.$$

Denote $a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$. Since $\{\lambda_k\}$ decrease, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} - a_k &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k} - \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}} = \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}})} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}}{2\lambda_k\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}} = \frac{\lambda_k - (1 - \alpha_k)\lambda_k}{2\lambda_k\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}} = \frac{\alpha_k}{2\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0}{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a_k \geq 1 + \frac{k}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0}{L}}$. □

Theorem 3.2 *Let us take in (3.5) $\gamma_0 = L$. Then this scheme generates a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$f(x_k) - f^* \leq L \min \left\{ (1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}})^k, \frac{4}{(k+2)^2} \right\} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2.$$

This means that it is optimal for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(R^n)$ with $\mu \geq 0$.

Proof. We get the above inequality using

$$f(x_0) - f^* \leq \frac{L}{2} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2$$

and the previous results.

Further, from the lower complexity bounds for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(R^n)$, $\mu > 0$, we have:

$$f(x_k) - f^* \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}-1}{\sqrt{Q}+1} \right)^{2k} R^2 \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{4k}{\sqrt{Q}-1} \right) R^2$$

where $Q = L/\mu$ and $R = \|x_0 - x^*\|$. Therefore, the worst case estimate for finding x_k : $f(x_k) - f^* \leq \epsilon$ cannot be better than

$$k \geq \frac{\sqrt{Q}-1}{4} \left[\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{\mu}{2} + 2 \ln R \right].$$

For our scheme we have:

$$f(x_k) - f^* \leq LR^2 (1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}})^k \leq LR^2 \exp \left(-\frac{k}{\sqrt{Q}} \right).$$

Therefore we guarantee that

$$k \leq \sqrt{Q} \left[\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln L + 2 \ln R \right].$$

Thus, the main term in this estimate, $\sqrt{Q} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, is proportional to the lower bound.

The same reasoning can be used for $\mathcal{S}_{0,L}^{1,1}(R^n)$. □

Constant Step Scheme (3.6)

0. Choose $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\gamma_0 > 0$.

Set $v_0 = x_0$.

1. k th iteration ($k \geq 0$).

a). Compute $\alpha_k \in (0, 1)$ from the equation

$$L\alpha_k^2 = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu.$$

Set $\gamma_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu$.

b). Choose

$$y_k = \frac{\alpha_k\gamma_kv_k + \gamma_{k+1}x_k}{\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu}.$$

Compute $f(y_k)$ and $f'(y_k)$.

c). Set

$$x_{k+1} = y_k - \frac{1}{L}f'(y_k).$$

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}}[(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_kv_k + \alpha_k\mu y_k - \alpha_k f'(y_k)].$$

Let us simplify this scheme.

Note that:

$$y_k = \frac{\alpha_k \gamma_k v_k + \gamma_{k+1} x_k}{\gamma_k + \alpha_k \mu}, \quad x_{k+1} = y_k - \frac{1}{L} f'(y_k),$$

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} [(1 - \alpha_k) \gamma_k v_k + \alpha_k \mu y_k - \alpha_k f'(y_k)].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha_k)}{\alpha_k} [(\gamma_k + \alpha_k \mu) y_k - \gamma_{k+1} x_k] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_k \mu y_k - \alpha_k f'(y_k) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha_k) \gamma_k}{\alpha_k} y_k + \mu y_k \right\} - \frac{1-\alpha_k}{\alpha_k} x_k - \frac{\alpha_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} f'(y_k) \\ &= x_k + \frac{1}{\alpha_k} (y_k - x_k) - \frac{1}{\alpha_k L} f'(y_k) \\ &= x_k + \frac{1}{\alpha_k} (x_{k+1} - x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1} \mu} (\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \gamma_{k+2} x_{k+1}) \\ &= x_{k+1} + \frac{\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k+1} (v_{k+1} - x_{k+1})}{\gamma_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1} \mu} = x_{k+1} + \beta_k (x_{k+1} - x_k). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_k = \frac{\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k+1} (1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k (\gamma_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1} \mu)}.$$

Thus, we managed to eliminate $\{v_k\}$.

What can we say about β_k ?

We have:

$$\alpha_k^2 L = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \mu\alpha_k \equiv \gamma_{k+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k &= \frac{\alpha_{k+1}\gamma_{k+1}(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k(\gamma_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1}\mu)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{k+1}\gamma_{k+1}(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k(\gamma_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1}^2 L - (1 - \alpha_{k+1})\gamma_{k+1})} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{k+1}(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k(\gamma_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1}L)} = \frac{\alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that

$$\alpha_{k+1}^2 = (1 - \alpha_{k+1})\alpha_k^2 + q\alpha_{k+1},$$

where $q = \mu/L$, and

$$\alpha_0^2 L = (1 - \alpha_0)\gamma_0 + \mu\alpha_0.$$

Constant Step Scheme (3.7)

0. Choose $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$. Set

$$y_0 = x_0, \quad q = \mu/L.$$

1. k th iteration ($k \geq 0$).

a). Compute $f(y_k)$ and $f'(y_k)$. Set

$$x_{k+1} = y_k - \frac{1}{L}f'(y_k).$$

b). Compute $\alpha_{k+1} \in (0, 1)$ from the equation

$$\alpha_{k+1}^2 = (1 - \alpha_{k+1})\alpha_k^2 + q\alpha_{k+1},$$

and set

$$\beta_k = \frac{\alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1}},$$

$$y_{k+1} = x_{k+1} + \beta_k(x_{k+1} - x_k).$$

Theorem 3.3 *If in (3.7) we take*

$$\alpha_0 \geq \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$f(x_k) - f^* \leq \left[f(x_0) - f^* + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \right] \times \\ \min \left\{ \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}} \right)^k, \frac{4L}{(2\sqrt{L} + k\sqrt{\gamma_0})^2} \right\},$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\alpha_0(\alpha_0 L - \mu)}{1 - \alpha_0}.$$

Remarks.

1. Condition (3.8) is equivalent to $\gamma_0 \geq \mu$.
2. If $\alpha_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}$ then

$$\alpha_k = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}, \quad \beta_k = \frac{\sqrt{L} - \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{\mu}}$$

for all $k \geq 0$.

Convex sets

Problem:

$$\min_{x \in Q} f(x).$$

We work with differentiable convex functions:

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y),$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

What is the natural domain of convex function?

Definition. A set Q is called *convex* if for any $x, y \in Q$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have:

$$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in Q.$$

Terminology:

Segment: $[x, y] = \{z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$.

Convex combination of two points:

$$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$$

for some $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 3.5 *If $f(x)$ is a convex function, then for any $\alpha \in R$ its sublevel set*

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\beta) = \{x \in R^n \mid f(x) \leq \beta\}$$

is either convex or empty.

Proof. Indeed, let x and y belong to $\mathcal{L}_f(\beta)$. Then

$$f(x) \leq \beta, \quad f(y) \leq \beta.$$

Therefore

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) \leq \beta.$$

□

Lemma 3.6 *Let $f(x)$ be a convex function. Then its epigraph*

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{(x, \tau) \in R^{n+1} \mid f(x) \leq \tau\}$$

is a convex set.

Proof. Indeed, let

$$z_1 = (x_1, \tau_1) \in \mathcal{E}_f, \quad z_2 = (x_2, \tau_2) \in \mathcal{E}_f.$$

Then for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} z_\alpha &\equiv \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2 \\ &= (\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, \alpha \tau_1 + (1 - \alpha)\tau_2), \\ f(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) &\leq \alpha f(x_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(x_2) \\ &\leq \alpha \tau_1 + (1 - \alpha)\tau_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $z_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_f$.

□

Properties of Convex Sets

Theorem 3.4 *Let $Q_1 \subseteq R^n$ and $Q_2 \subseteq R^m$ be convex sets and $\mathcal{A}(x)$ be a linear operator:*

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = Ax + b : R^n \rightarrow R^m.$$

Then all of the following sets are convex:

1. *Intersection ($m = n$):*

$$Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \{x \in R^n \mid x \in Q_1, x \in Q_2\}.$$

2. *Sum ($m = n$):*

$$Q_1 + Q_2 = \{z = x + y \mid x \in Q_1, y \in Q_2\}.$$

3. *Direct sum:*

$$Q_1 \times Q_2 = \{(x, y) \in R^{n+m} \mid x \in Q_1, y \in Q_2\}.$$

4. *Conic hull:*

$$\mathcal{K}(Q_1) = \{z \in R^n \mid z = \beta x, x \in Q_1, \beta \geq 0\}.$$

5. *Convex hull*

$$\text{Conv}(Q_1, Q_2) = \{z \in R^n \mid z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, \\ x \in Q_1, y \in Q_2, \alpha \in [0, 1]\}.$$

6. *Affine image:*

$$\mathcal{A}(Q_1) = \{y \in R^m \mid y = \mathcal{A}(x), x \in Q_1\}.$$

7. *Inverse affine image:*

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}(Q_2) = \{x \in R^n \mid y = \mathcal{A}(x), y \in Q_2\}.$$

Proof.

1. If $x_1 \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$ and $x_1 \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$, then

$$[x_1, x_2] \subset Q_1, \quad [x_1, x_2] \subset Q_2.$$

Therefore $[x_1, x_2] \subset Q_1 \cap Q_2$.

2. If $z_1 = x_1 + x_2$, $x_1 \in Q_1$, $x_2 \in Q_2$ and $z_2 = y_1 + y_2$, $y_1 \in Q_1$, $y_2 \in Q_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2 &= [\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_1]_1 \\ &\quad + [\alpha x_2 + (1 - \alpha)y_2]_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot]_1 \in Q_1$ and $[\cdot]_2 \in Q_2$.

3. If $z_1 = (x_1, x_2)$, $x_1 \in Q_1$, $x_2 \in Q_2$ and $z_2 = (y_1, y_2)$, $y_1 \in Q_1$, $y_2 \in Q_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2 &= ([\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_1]_1, \\ &\quad [\alpha x_2 + (1 - \alpha)y_2]_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot]_1 \in Q_1$ and $[\cdot]_2 \in Q_2$.

4. If $z_1 = \beta_1 x_1$, $x_1 \in Q_1$, $\beta_1 \geq 0$, and $z_2 = \beta_2 x_2$, $x_2 \in Q_1$, $\beta_2 \geq 0$, then for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2 &= \alpha \beta_1 x_1 + (1 - \alpha) \beta_2 x_2 \\ &= \gamma (\bar{\alpha} x_1 + (1 - \bar{\alpha}) x_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = \alpha \beta_1 + (1 - \alpha) \beta_2, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \alpha \beta_1 / \gamma.$$

5. If $z_1 = \beta_1 x_1 + (1 - \beta_1)x_2$, $x_1 \in Q_1$, $x_2 \in Q_2$, $\beta_1 \in [0, 1]$, and $z_2 = \beta_2 y_1 + (1 - \beta_2)y_2$, $y_1 \in Q_1$, $y_2 \in Q_2$, $\beta_2 \in [0, 1]$, then for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha z_1 + (1 - \alpha)z_2 &= \alpha(\beta_1 x_1 + (1 - \beta_1)x_2) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha)(\beta_2 y_1 + (1 - \beta_2)y_2) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(\bar{\beta}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{\beta}_1)y_1) \\ &\quad + (1 - \bar{\alpha})(\bar{\beta}_2 x_2 + (1 - \bar{\beta}_2)y_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha\beta_1 + (1 - \alpha)\beta_2$ and

$$\bar{\beta}_1 = \alpha\beta_1/\bar{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\beta}_2 = \alpha(1 - \beta_1)/(1 - \bar{\alpha}).$$

6. If $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{A}(Q_1)$ then

$$y_1 = Ax_1 + b, \quad y_2 = Ax_2 + b, \quad x_1, x_2 \in Q_1.$$

For $y(\alpha) = \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} y(\alpha) &= \alpha(Ax_1 + b) + (1 - \alpha)(Ax_2 + b) \\ &= A(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) + b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $y(\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}(Q_1)$.

7. If $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}(Q_2)$ then

$$y_1 = Ax_1 + b, \quad y_2 = Ax_2 + b, \quad y_1, y_2 \in Q_2.$$

For $x(\alpha) = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x(\alpha)) &= A(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) + b \\ &= \alpha(Ax_1 + b) + (1 - \alpha)(Ax_2 + b) \\ &= \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2 \in Q_2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Examples.

1. Half-space:

$$\{x \in R^n \mid \langle a, x \rangle \leq \beta\}$$

is convex (since a linear function is convex).

2. Polytope:

$$\{x \in R^n \mid \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

is convex (as an intersection of convex sets).

3. Ellipsoid. Let $A = A^T \geq 0$. Then the set

$$\{x \in R^n \mid \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq r^2\}$$

is convex (since $\langle Ax, x \rangle$ is a convex function).

And many others.

Optimality Conditions

Problem:

$$\min_{x \in Q} f(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (3.9)$$

where Q is a closed convex set.

Example

$$\min_{x \geq 0} x.$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $Q = \{x \geq 0\}$, $f(x) = x$.

Note that

$$x^* = 0, \quad f'(x^*) = 1 > 0.$$

Thus, $f'(x^*) \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Q be a closed convex set.*

The point x^ is a solution of (3.9) iff*

$$\langle f'(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in Q$.

Proof. Indeed, if (3.10) is true, then

$$f(x) \geq f(x^*) + \langle f'(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq f(x^*)$$

for all $x \in Q$.

Let x^* be a solution to (3.9). Assume that

$$\exists x \in Q : \quad \langle f'(x^*), x - x^* \rangle < 0.$$

Consider the function

$$\phi(\alpha) = f(x^* + \alpha(x - x^*)), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Note that

$$\phi(0) = f(x^*), \quad \phi'(0) = \langle f'(x^*), x - x^* \rangle < 0.$$

Therefore, for small enough α we have:

$$f(x^* + \alpha(x - x^*)) = \phi(\alpha) < \phi(0) = f(x^*).$$

That is a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.6 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_\mu^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Q be a closed convex set.*

Then the solution x^ of the problem (3.9) exists and unique.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in Q$. Consider the set

$$\bar{Q} = \{x \in Q \mid f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}.$$

Note that the problem (3.9) is equivalent to the following:

$$\min\{f(x) \mid x \in \bar{Q}\}. \quad (3.11)$$

But \bar{Q} is bounded: $\forall x \in \bar{Q}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &\geq f(x) \\ &\geq f(x_0) + \langle f'(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|x - x_0\| \leq \frac{2}{\mu} \|f'(x_0)\|$.

Thus, the solution x^* of (3.11) (\equiv (3.9)) exists.

If x_1^* is also a solution to (3.9), then

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &= f(x_1^*) \\ &\geq f(x^*) + \langle f'(x^*), x_1^* - x^* \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_1^* - x^*\|^2 \\ &\geq f^* + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_1^* - x^*\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(we have used Theorem 3.5).

Therefore $x_1^* = x^*$. □

Gradient Mapping

Properties of the gradient:

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(R^n)$. Then

- $f(x - \frac{1}{L}f'(x)) \leq f(x) - \frac{1}{2L} \| f'(x) \|^2$.
- $\langle f'(x), x - x^* \rangle \geq \frac{1}{L} \| f'(x) \|^2$.

What could replace it for (3.9)?

Let us fix $\gamma > 0$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} x_Q(\gamma, x_0) &= \arg \min_{x \in Q} f(x_0) + \langle f'(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} \| x - x_0 \|^2, \\ g_Q(\gamma, x_0) &= \gamma(x_0 - x_Q(\gamma, x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

We call $g_Q(\gamma, x)$ the *gradient mapping* of f on Q .

Note: 1. If $Q \equiv R^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} x_Q(\gamma, x_0) &= x_0 - \frac{1}{\gamma} f'(x_0), \\ g_Q(\gamma, x_0) &= f'(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

2. We can use $x_0 \notin Q$.

Theorem 3.7 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(R^n)$, $\gamma \geq L$ and $x_0 \in R^n$. Then for any $x \in Q$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq f(x_Q(\gamma, x_0)) + \langle g_Q(\gamma, x_0), x - x_0 \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|g_Q(\gamma, x_0)\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Denote $x_Q = x_Q(\gamma, x_0)$, $g_Q = g_Q(\gamma, x_0)$,

$$\phi(x) = f(x_0) + \langle f'(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2.$$

Then $\phi'(x) = f'(x_0) + \gamma(x - x_0)$, and for any $x \in Q$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle \phi'(x_Q), x - x_Q \rangle \\ &= \langle f'(x_0) - g_Q, x - x_Q \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 &\geq f(x_0) + \langle f'(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle \\ &= f(x_0) + \langle f'(x_0), x_Q - x_0 \rangle + \langle f'(x_0), x - x_Q \rangle \\ &\geq f(x_0) + \langle f'(x_0), x_Q - x_0 \rangle + \langle g_Q, x - x_Q \rangle \\ &= \phi(x_Q) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x_Q - x_0\|^2 + \langle g_Q, x - x_Q \rangle \\ &= \phi(x_Q) - \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|g_Q\|^2 + \langle g_Q, x - x_Q \rangle \\ &= \phi(x_Q) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|g_Q\|^2 + \langle g_Q, x - x_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and $\phi(x_Q) \geq f(x_Q)$ since $\gamma \geq L$. □

Corollary 3.1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(R^n)$, $\gamma \geq L$ and $x_0 \in R^n$.
Then*

$$f(x_Q(\gamma, x_0)) \leq f(x_0) - \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|g_Q(\gamma, x_0)\|^2, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_Q(\gamma, x_0), x_0 - x^* \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|g_Q(\gamma, x_0)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x^* - x_0\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Indeed, using (3.12) with $x = x_0$, we get (3.13).

Using (3.12) with $x = x^*$, we get (3.14) since

$$f(x_Q(\gamma, x_0)) \geq f(x^*).$$

□

Gradient Method

Problem:

$$\min_{x \in Q} f(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu, L}^{1,1}(R^n), \quad \mu > 0,$$

where Q is a closed convex set.

Scheme:

$$x_0 \in Q,$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - hg_Q(L, x_k), \quad k = 0, \dots .$$

Theorem 3.8 *If we choose $h = \frac{1}{L}$, then*

$$\|x_k - x^*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^k \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 .$$

Proof. Denote $r_k = \|x_k - x^*\|$, $g_Q = g_Q(L, x_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1}^2 &= \|x_k - x^* - hg_Q\|^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - 2h\langle g_Q, x_k - x^* \rangle + h^2 \|g_Q\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - h\mu)r_k^2 + h\left(h - \frac{1}{L}\right) \|g_Q\|^2 \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right) r_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note: If $h = \frac{1}{L}$, then

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{L}g_Q(L, x_k) = x_Q(L, x_k).$$

Optimal Methods

Estimate sequence:

$$x_0 \in Q,$$

$$\phi_0(x) = f(x_0) + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}(x) &= (1 - \alpha_k)\phi_k(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_k [f(x_Q(\gamma, y_k)) + \langle g_Q(L, y_k), x - y_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2L} \|g_Q(L, y_k)\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - y_k\|^2], \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_k(x) \equiv \phi_k^* + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \|x - v_k\|^2.$$

Similarly we get the following updating rules:

$$\gamma_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu,$$

$$v_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} [(1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k v_k + \alpha_k\mu y_k - \alpha_k g_Q(\gamma, y_k)],$$

$$\phi_{k+1}^* = (1 - \alpha_k)\phi_k^* + \alpha_k f(x_Q(L, y_k))$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{2L} - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \right) \|g_Q(L, y_k)\|^2$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_k(1-\alpha_k)\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|y_k - v_k\|^2 + \langle g_Q(L, y_k), v_k - y_k \rangle \right).$$

Further, assuming $\phi_k^* \geq f(x_k)$ and using the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_k) &\geq f(x_Q(L, y_k)) + \langle g_Q(L, y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2L} \|g_Q(L, y_k)\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_k - y_k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we come to the following lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k+1}^* &\geq (1 - \alpha_k) f(x_k) + \alpha_k f(x_Q(L, y_k)) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{2L} - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \right) \|g_Q(L, y_k)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_k(1-\alpha_k)\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \langle g_Q(L, y_k), v_k - y_k \rangle \\ &\geq f(x_Q(L, y_k)) + \left(\frac{1}{2L} - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \right) \|g_Q(L, y_k)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_k) \langle g_Q(L, y_k), \frac{\alpha_k\gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}}(v_k - y_k) + x_k - y_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, again we can choose

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_Q(L, y_k), \\ L\alpha_k^2 &= (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu \equiv \gamma_{k+1}, \\ y_k &= \frac{\alpha_k\gamma_k v_k + \gamma_{k+1} x_k}{\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Constant Step Scheme (3.15)

0. Choose $x_0 \in Q$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$. Set

$$y_0 = x_0, \quad q = \mu/L.$$

1. k th iteration ($k \geq 0$).

a). Compute $f(y_k)$ and $f'(y_k)$. Set

$$x_{k+1} = x_Q(L, y_k).$$

b). Compute $\alpha_{k+1} \in (0, 1)$ from the equation

$$\alpha_{k+1}^2 = (1 - \alpha_{k+1})\alpha_k^2 + q\alpha_{k+1},$$

and set

$$\beta_k = \frac{\alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1}},$$

$$y_{k+1} = x_{k+1} + \beta_k(x_{k+1} - x_k).$$

Note:

1. This method has the optimal rate of convergence.
2. Only x_k belong to Q .